How fast is a twisted photon?
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Recent measurements have highlighted that even in vacuum spatially shaped photons travel slower than c, the speed of monochromatic plane waves. Here we investigate the intrinsic delay introduced by “twisting” a photon, i.e., by introducing orbital angular momentum (OAM), and measure the photon time of flight with a Hong–Ou–Mandel interferometer. When all other parameters are held constant, the addition of OAM reduces the delay (accelerates) with respect to the same beam with no OAM. We support our results using a theoretical method to calculate the group velocity and gain an intuitive understanding of the measured OAM acceleration by considering a geometrical ray-tracing approach.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The propagation velocity of light in vacuum is a constant, c, only for monochromatic plane waves: any deviation from the plane-wave constraint can lead to a propagation velocity different from c. Even the simplest of light beams, such as a focused Gaussian beam, exhibits regions of modified group velocity [1]. A whole range of more complicated beams that are appropriately shaped in space, time, or space and time have been identified, which exhibit intensity peaks and structures that are subluminal, superluminal, or accelerating [2–9].

A slightly more fundamental issue has been addressed recently, namely, the propagation time of a single photon from one point (the “source”) to another (the “detector”), thus highlighting that the beam structure will modify the propagation time [10]. The group velocity of a photon structured in the transverse dimension propagating in vacuum can be calculated as

$$v_g = \langle (\frac{\partial \phi(r)}{\partial \omega} \partial_z) \rangle_{\omega, \phi}^{-1},$$

where $\partial_\omega$ and $\partial_z$ indicate, respectively, the gradient with respect to the photon carrier frequency $\omega$ and the position along the propagation direction $z$, and $\phi(r)$ represents the phase front in the cylindrical coordinates $r = (r, \phi, z)$ [10–12]. Here $\langle \ldots \rangle$ denotes the spatial average over the transverse beam structure, using the normalized field intensity as a weight. In the case of a plane wave, $\partial_\omega \phi(r) = k_0$, where $k_0 = 2\pi/\lambda$ is the vacuum wavevector of the photon with a wavelength of $\lambda$. This plane wave provides the standard result that in vacuum the group velocity is equal to the speed of light $c = \omega/k_0$. However, as soon as there is a spatial structure of any kind on the transverse photon profile, $\partial_\omega \phi(r)$ is a non-trivial function of $\omega$ such that the speed along the propagation direction will deviate from $c$. An intuitive understanding of this deviation is based on the simple observation that $c$ is the speed of a monochromatic plane wave (as can be seen upon inspection of the Maxwell equations), and that in a structured photon, the constituent plane waves are propagating at non-zero angles with respect to the propagation axis. The travel time of a photon from one point “A” to another point “B” is longer due to these plane-wave components traveling at an angle with respect to the axes connecting A to B (and, hence, travel a longer distance). It is, therefore, intuitively clear that the propagation time of a focused (e.g., imaged from the source to the detector) photon will increase as the ring radius is increased. This delay as a function of radius is also well described within the framework of a simple ray-tracing theory [10].

The propagation of light with an azimuthal phase gradient, i.e., orbital angular momentum (OAM), was also examined recently [12,13]. In this case, one must allow for the fact that these beams have a ring-shaped spatial profile and that for increasing OAM, the ring radius also naturally increases for the typical beam types encountered in experiments, e.g., Laguerre–Gauss (LG) or hypergeometric beams. The introduction of OAM will therefore lead to the observation of a delay for increasing OAM due the increasing ring size [12].

Here we address the fundamental question of the intrinsic effect of OAM on the propagation time of a single photon. This requires separating the effect of increasing OAM from the effect of the associated increase in ring radius in naturally...
occurring OAM modes. Our measurements show that, rather counterintuitively, OAM increases the velocity of the photon when compared with a photon that has exactly the same spatial profile but is carrying zero OAM. These findings are supported by a theoretical model and ray-tracing considerations providing intuitive explanations for the observed effect. Although the two-photon interference, which necessitates the use of single photons, is used to demonstrate the phenomenon here, this effect is equivalently present in classical beams possessing OAM.

The effective change in velocity of beams carrying OAM may have consequences in a number of quantum technologies, such as quantum key distribution [14,15], which use OAM due to its inherent high dimensionality [16–18]. For example, accurate timing of signals used in quantum communications may be affected if the velocity of the photons is calculated incorrectly [19]. Furthermore, measurements of photons in cosmology could be subject to discrepancies in arrival time if these subtle effects are not properly accounted for [20].

2. EXPERIMENTS

We wish to study the propagation velocity of photons with a fixed spatial profile, specifically, with a ring-shaped intensity distribution. Careful control of the transverse shape of the photons can be achieved with an spatial light modulator (SLM), which can also be used to impart the required OAM. In our experiment, indistinguishable photon pairs are generated via spontaneous parametric down-conversion in a nonlinear crystal pumped by 100 fs, 404 nm wavelength pulses, provided by a frequency-doubled 80 MHz, Ti:sapphire oscillator. The experimental arrangement is thereafter the same as that described in Ref. [10] (see Fig. 1 for details). The two photons are separated; one photon is used as a reference, whereas the other is sent via a pair of SLMs. The first SLM imprints the desired phase structure, whereas the second applies the inverse phase to return the photon to a plane-wave mode. In all the experiments (aside from the plane-wave reference), a focusing lens phase is imprinted on each of the SLMs to form a 4/f telescope between the input and output fibers. The signal and reference photons are recombined at a 50:50 fiber beam splitter where they undergo Hong–Ou–Mandel (HOM) interference [21] and produce the characteristic HOM dip as the variable path delay of the reference photon is scanned. The photons are detected via two single-photon avalanche detectors (Excelitas SPCM-14) and counted in coincidence via an event timing module (PicoQuant HydraHarp 400). The position of the HOM dip minimum is used to define the average transit time of the structured photons. For each delay position of the reference photon (controlled with a stepper motor) along the HOM dip, we iteratively impart all of the various ring-shaped holograms (m = 0–8) onto the SLMs and integrate the coincidence counts for 2 s for each m. The full delay-scan measurement is then repeated 90 times and we take the final averaged (over the 90 independent measurements) HOM dip minimum and standard deviation error. This procedure (see Supplement 1 for more details) effectively minimizes systematic errors, for instance, due to thermal fluctuations, and allows increasing precision through averaging over random contributions to variations in the measured HOM dip position. To remove the radial dependence, we performed experiments with a ring-shaped mask applied to the beam, where we choose for each m the size of the mask to match the natural size of a LG beam after propagation to the far field, given by $D(m) = 2w_0/\sqrt{|m|^2}$, where $w_0$ is the beam waist. The phase (2πm in the range 0 < m < 8) and amplitude mask were imposed onto the beam using the first SLM along with a focusing lens phase with a focal length of f = 300 mm. We also measured, for reference, the HOM dip position for a Gaussian beam (m = 0), i.e., no structuring of the transverse phase. Any systematic delays (due to, for example, the SLMs) are removed by only considering the relative difference in optical delay between measurements with/without OAM and the same intensity profile. Figure 2 shows the data with OAM (red squares) and without OAM (blue circles). We observe that all of the measurements with OAM lie below those without OAM, thus clearly indicating that photons with OAM suffer less delay than the same (intensity profile) photons with no OAM. In order to assess the impact of the statistical relevance of the data (large error bars in Fig. 2), we provide an analysis to compare our data with the “null hypothesis,” i.e., with the hypothesis that there is no difference in delay between the photons with OAM and the photons with no OAM. In Fig. 3(a), we show the difference between the photon delays, i.e., the difference between the two sets of data in Fig. 2, $\Delta[\delta z_m] = \delta z_m - \delta z_m = 0$. Taking into account that there cannot be a difference for m = 0 and that the OAM effect should increase with m, we fit the data with the simplest phenomenological model, a straight line, weighted with the measurement errors. The darker shaded region shows the 1σ confidence bound of the fit to the data, whereas the lighter shaded area shows the 3σ confidence bound. As can be seen, the null hypothesis cannot explain the data with a confidence that is slightly better than 3σ.

Therefore, when considered together, the data show with 3σ confidence that OAM contributes to the group velocity of a photon and that this contribution leads to a speed up of the photon.
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3. THEORY

A simple theoretical model can provide a physical justification and insight into this surprising effect.

These beams exhibit an extended depth of field and an annular intensity distribution in the far field [23–25]. Following Ref. [10], the z-averaged velocity of a photon can be expressed as

\[ \tilde{v}_g = \frac{L}{\int_{z_1}^{z_2} dz (v_g(z))^2} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{(k_m^2)}{2 k_0^2}} \] (4)

where \( L = z_2 - z_1 \) and where \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) indicate, respectively, the start (source) and end (detector) positions of the photon path. The time taken for a photon to travel \( L \) is thus \( t = L/\tilde{v}_g \), and the distance between a structured photon and a plane wave that travels at constant speed of \( c \) over a fixed time is given by

\[ \delta z = ct - L = \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \left( \frac{\Delta \Theta}{\Delta k} \right) \right]_{k_0} \] (3)

where \( \Delta \Theta \) is the complex argument, and \( |\psi(r, k)| \) is the normalized field amplitude such that \( \psi(r) \) denotes the overlap integral in the transverse plane \( (r, \phi) \). In the case of the paraxial wave approximation, this simplifies to [10]
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with an OAM integer \( m \) behaves somewhat differently: the Poynting vector no longer rotates by 180°. Rather, the vector rotates by an angle that is smaller in absolute value and in either a clockwise or a counter-clockwise direction depending on the sign of the OAM [27].

Using this geometrical insight, it is therefore possible to estimate the photon path difference between a generic ring-shaped beam with and without OAM (but with a fixed ring radius):

\[
\delta z_{\text{RayTracing}} = \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1 - \cos \alpha}{4} \right) \frac{D^2}{L},
\]

where \( L \) is the distance between the two lenses and \( D \) is the diameter of the intensity ring. As can be seen from the ray-tracing Eq. (6), any OAM will actually advance the photon arrival with respect to a beam with the same intensity profile and \( m = 0 \). In other words, the longest possible trajectory that a light ray can take is given by image inversion, \( \alpha = 180° \), corresponding to what is expected for an \( m = 0 \) beam. If one adds OAM, the rays will then be skewed yet still remain rays, i.e., straight lines that can therefore only connect the object to the image plane via trajectories that are shortened. These shortened trajectories imply a faster transit time for photons that carry OAM with respect to photons that carry none.

The delay difference between OAM and no OAM is compared with the theory in Fig. 3(b). The solid line shows the prediction based on Eq. (5) for Bessel–Gauss modes similar to those used in the experiments. The curve uses the exact radial distribution measured in the experiments and thus has no free parameters. The dashed line shows the expected delays calculated from the simpler ray-tracing theory. This ray-tracing approach requires knowledge of the Poynting vector rotation angle \( \alpha \). We thus performed additional measurements with a standard diode laser injected into the arm containing the SLMs. We then impose the same phase and amplitude masks as for the single-photon experiments, but now with a 45° slice removed around \( \alpha = 0° \). The insets in Fig. 3(b) show just one example: for \( m = 0 \), the “slice” is rotated by \( \alpha = 180° \), corresponding to the expected image inversion for our telescopic imaging setup, whereas for \( m = 5 \) the slice is only rotated by \( \alpha = \pm 155° \). We verified that this rotation is independent of the OAM winding number.

Both models correctly predict the observed trend of the data, i.e., a negative delay shift of the photons with OAM whose absolute value is increasing with the topological number \( m \). Due to the standard deviation error of the measurements, we can only affirm what is expected for an \( m = 0 \) beam.
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